

**STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD**

Petition of Champlain VT, LLC d/b/a TDI New England)
for a Certificate of Public Good, pursuant to 30 V.S.A. §248,)
authorizing the installation and operation of a high voltage)
direct current (HVDC) underwater and underground electric)
transmission line with a capacity of 1,000 MW, a converter)
station, and other associated facilities, to be located in Lake)
Champlain and in the Counties of Grand Isle, Chittenden,)
Addison, Rutland, and Windsor, Vermont, and to be known)
as the New England Clean Power Link Project (“NECPL”))

Docket No. 8400

**SUPPLEMENTAL PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
STEPHEN A. OLAUSEN**

ON BEHALF OF CHAMPLAIN VT, LLC

August 26, 2015

Summary:

Mr. Olausen’s supplemental testimony addresses the stipulation reached between TDI-NE and the Division for Historic Preservation concerning historic structures along the overland segment of the Project, including proposed CPG conditions, and provides an update on the conclusions in his original testimony.

1 **Q. Please state your name, occupation and business address.**

2 Response: Stephen A. Olausen, Executive Director and Senior Architectural Historian, The
3 Public Archaeology Laboratory, Inc. (“PAL”), 26 Main Street, Pawtucket, Rhode Island.

4

5 **Q. Have you previously filed testimony in this proceeding?**

6 Response: Yes, I submitted prefiled direct testimony on behalf of Champlain VT, LLC
7 d/b/a TDI New England concerning the New England Clean Power Link (“NECPL”) Project on December 8, 2014.

8

9

10 **Q. What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?**

11 Response: The purpose of my testimony is to provide an update on the conclusions in my
12 original testimony as a result of the Stipulation reached between TDI-NE and the Division
13 for Historic Preservation (“DHP”), the Department of Public Service (“DPS”), and the
14 Agency of Natural Resources (“ANR”) dated July 17, 2015 and submitted as *Exhibit TDI-*
15 *JMB-19a.*

16

17 **Q. Have you reviewed the proposed CPG conditions regarding historic resources**
18 **included as Attachment III to the Stipulation between TDI-NE, DPS, ANR, and DHP?**

19 Response: Yes, I have reviewed the conditions included in Attachment III of *Exhibit TDI-*
20 *JMB-19a.*

21

22

1 **Q. Assuming TDI-NE implements the conditions in the Stipulation as it has agreed to**
2 **do, will your conclusion remain as stated in your prefiled direct testimony that the Project**
3 **will not have a direct or indirect adverse effect on historical architectural resources along the**
4 **overland segment of the Project?**

5 Response: Yes, if TDI-NE implements the conditions in the Stipulation, my conclusion
6 that the Project will have no adverse direct or indirect effect on historic architectural
7 resources along the overland segment of the Project will remain unchanged.

8

9 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?**

10 Response: Yes.